



Memory-safe Network Services Through A Userspace Networking Switch

Kai Lüke Master Thesis Advisor: Prof. Sue Moon Co-Advisor: Prof. Anja Feldmann Dual-Degree Program KAIST/TU Berlin

Motivation

- Security of network services harmed by remote code execution vulnerabilities
- Memory-corruption bugs are the root cause for *remote code* execution
- Applications already use memory-safe programming languages against memory corruption in userspace
- But TCP/IP stack in kernel remains vulnerable
- Idea: Move TCP/IP into memory-safe userspace process

Userspace	Application Layer	HTTP/TLS in Memory-safe Language
Kernel	Transport Layer	
	Internet Layer	TCP/IP in C
	Link Layer	

High-impact Remote Vulnerabilities in Linux

CVE with CVSS score > 9/10	Description
CVE-2018-5703	in-kernel TLS for IPv6 packets has an out-of- bounds write
CVE-2017-13715	flow dissector has uninitialized values exploitable by MPLS packets
CVE-2017-18017	TCP MSS matching in iptables has use-after-free
CVE-2016-10229	UDP packet recv with MSG_PEEK has race
CVE-2016-9555	SCTP out-of-bounds
CVE-2016-7117	recvmmsg use-after-free

Not just a problem in Linux: **Apple** CVE-2018-4407: ICMP packet may cause out-of-bounds write

TCP/IP in Userspace

- Implemented as in-app network stack
- Requires access to full Ethernet frames
- Can use kernel bypass and userspace NIC drivers
- Has either exclusive NIC access
 - \circ Dedicated NIC → separate MAC and IP
- Or NIC access shared with kernel/userspace network stacks through switching
 - \circ L2 → separate MAC and IP address
 - \circ L3 → separate IP address
 - \circ L4 → same IP address but separate TCP/UDP ports
 - Appropriate switching layer for OS processes

Switching Packets for Kernel and Userspace Network Stacks

- Needed because not all applications can be changed to use a userspace network stack
- Keeps kernel network stack active for administrative tasks, updates, and time synchronization
- Allows to first focus on memory safety for publicly available services



Threat Model

- Assume attacker has knowledge about a vulnerability in our kernel network stack
 - There are ~2 high-impact remote vulnerabilities in the Linux network stack per year
 - Updates may be available or not (third-party, outdated version, custom kernel, zero-day exploit)
- Assume that the attacker uses an Internet endpoint device
 - Can craft malicious IP headers and L4 headers
 - Cannot spoof its source IP address
 - Cannot monitor unrelated packets from the remote host

Requirements for the Deployment of a Memory-safe TCP/IP Service on Linux

- Allow only memory-safe TCP/IP processing for untrusted packets
 - E.g., L4 switch, firewall, packet monitor
- Allow TCP/IP processing without memory safety only for trusted packets
 - E.g., packets for kernel network stack must be filtered
 - Trust can be defined as policy or heuristic
- \rightarrow L2 handling and NIC drivers can be trusted code

Problem Statement for Memory-safe TCP/IP in Userspace

- Provide NIC access to kernel network stack
 - Needs firewalling of kernel, e.g., by only trusting response packets for outgoing connections
- Share one IP address with the kernel network stack
 - Needs a memory-safe L4 switch, existing L4 switches are not memory-safe
- Provide easy usage for existing applications
 - Needs userspace networking library which
 - Does not change API or connection behavior
 - Integrates with kernel loopback interface, e.g., for local DB connections

Existing Solutions for Memory-safe TCP/IP Services

Memory-safe Operating Systems

Name	Architecture	Language
MirageOS	Unikernel library OS	OCaml
HaLVM	Unikernel library OS	Haskell
RedoxOS	Microkernel OS	Rust/any

- Virtual interface connected with L2 bridge
 → different IPs
- Not compatible with Linux but run as VMs on Linux hypervisor
 → unnecessary detour for memory-safe TCP/IP

Existing Solutions for Memory-safe TCP/IP Services

Userspace Network Stacks

Network Stack	Language	Integration with loopback interface
mirage-tcpip	OCaml	no
HaNS	Haskell	no
smoltcp	Rust (single thread with non- blocking IO)	no

- TAP interface connected with L2 bridge
 → different IPs, need L4 switch for userspace network stack
- Keep benefit of large Linux ecosystem, multiprocess support

Existing L4 Switches for Userspace Network Stacks

- Let userspace network stacks register TCP/UDP ports to receive
- Forward all other packets to kernel

Name	Memory- safe	Protects Kernel	Note
MultiStack for VALE	no	no	old netmap version
swarm	no	no	requires different kernel IP with VALE
ΤΑΡΜ	yes	no	NIC hardware matching through queues

• Not sufficient for memory-safe TCP/IP services

Design of *usnetd*: Memory-safe L4 Switch for Userspace Network Stacks

- Shares NIC for userspace network stacks and kernel network stack
- Lets network stacks register which ports to receive
- Firewalls the kernel network stack
 - Allows only outgoing connections, trusts response packets and ARP packets



- Exposes control socket for endpoint setup and port registration
- Automatically forwards response packets without need for port registration
- Provides uniform interface for multiple kernel bypass frameworks as NIC backend
 - DPDK, netmap, macvtap, AF_XDP

Prototype for usnetd on netmap

- Uses netmap pipes as zero-copy IPC channel for packets
- Supports Unix domain sockets as simpler IPC channel
- Uses a single thread for the event loop



Design of *usnet_sockets*: Rust userspace networking library

- Uses an in-app network stack
- Provides same socket types as the standard library
- Integrates with the kernel loopback interface
- Requires source code changes for imports/dependencies
- Configurable at runtime
 - NIC access: usnetd, netmap, macvtap
 - IP configuration: passthrough, static, DHCP

Prototype for usnet_sockets on smoltcp

- Provides TcpStream, TcpListener types
 - Multithread-capable, blocking API
- Uses background thread to wake up blocked socket IO
- Currently relies on one mutex for all smoltcp sockets



Performance Evaluation

Experiment Setup

- Consists of directly connected equal machines
 - Two Intel Xeon X5550 servers at 2.6 GHz
 - Two Intel Core i5-4690 desktops at 3.9 GHz
- Uses Intel 10G NICs with netmap patches for ixgbe driver
- Always uses zero-copy IO

Packet Matching Speed of *usnetd*

- Measured with netmap pkt-gen connected to usnetd via netmap pipe, sending minimal sized UDP packets
- 2.6 GHz setup cannot achieve line rate for small/middlesized packets
- 3.9 GHz setup cannot achieve line rate for small packets
- Note: Not linear due to degraded netmap performance on 2.6 GHz



TCP Goodput of usnet_sockets on usnetd

- Measured payload delivery rate, sensitive to packet drops
- Line-rate for large packets with 3.9 GHz setup
- Degraded performance with 2.6 GHz setup
 - Will look in detail what the bottleneck is by measuring overheads
- Linux network stack has always line rate (multicore)



TCP Goodput: Analysis of Overhead

- Take usnetd out
 - NIC access directly through netmap without usnetd
- Take locking and synchronization in usnet_sockets out
 - No background thread, socket calls do all network IO
- Take blocking API of usnet_sockets out
 - Directly use smoltcp



- No improvement for usnet_sockets on netmap
 - Multithread synchronization is the main limiting factor
- Not using a background thread is faster
 - Here usnetd limits performance
- Blocking logic has some impact compared to directly using smoltcp
 - $^\circ~$ But even without usnetd and blocking API goodput is not line rate \rightarrow Needs smoltcp improvements

HTTP Request Completion

- Find out limitations of global mutex for multithread scalability
- Measured with Apache Benchmark (ab) as client on Linux network stack
- Client uses 32 parallel short connections, not sensitive to congestion control
- Server spawns thread per connection
- Compared usnet_sockets to Linux network stack



2.6 GHz

3.9 GHz

Required Source Code Changes

- I changed two public Rust libraries to have build flags for using usnet_sockets
- tiny-http is a HTTP implementation on top of the Rust standard library sockets
 - Needed few-lines patch to alter the import statements
- rouille is a web framework using tiny-http
 - Needed build metadata patch to specify build flag for tinyhttp
- Port key libraries that use Rust standard library sockets
 - Then no change to applications needed

Results

- No TCP/IP handling in trusted code base (TCB)
 - TCB consists of NIC driver, netmap, netmap code in Rust, syscalls and data structures of libraries, Rust compiler
- Implementation lacks features in these areas:
 - usnetd: multicast, broadcast, IPv6, IP fragmentation
 - usnet_sockets: DNS, IPv6, timeout, UDP, non-blocking IO, epoll wrapper, routing for multiple NICs
 - smoltcp: congestion control, selective/delayed ACKs, IP fragmentation, MTU discovery, DHCP

Discussion

- smoltcp: packet loss impacts goodput, needs more TCP features
- usnetd: multi-core scalability needed for line rate
- usnet_sockets:
 - Needs fine-grained locking and notification for multi-thread usage
 - Needs also epoll syscall wrappers for file descriptors to support mio or Tokio
- Only addressed memory corruption, not implementation correctness

Conclusion

- **usnetd** switch for memory-safe userspace network stacks
 - Shares NIC and IP between kernel and userspace network stacks
 - Protects the kernel network stack
- usnet_sockets library for Rust
 - Provides memory-safe TCP/IP without changing application logic
 - Integrates well with the rest of the system through loopback interface
 - Demonstrated ~10 GBit/s TCP goodput
- Together they provide memory-safe TCP/IP for Rust on Linux without changing the application logic
- More optimizations and features needed for parity with Linux network stack

Future Work

- API completeness
- Macvtap, DPDK, and AF_XDP backends for usnetd
- Programmable switches as alternative to usnetd or as backend?
 - VALE-bpf, AF_XDP, PFQ: need bytecode/script for switching and firewall logic
- Provide libc-compatible LD_PRELOAD wrapper for language agnostic memory-safe network stack